

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,584	12/30/2003	Ralf Neuhaus	2000P24056WOUS	8952 ,
SIEMENS CO	7590 09/26/2007 RPORATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT.			PEREZ, ANGELICA	
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	
•				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,584	NEUHAUS, RALF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Perez M. Angelica	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing (late of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 and 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
A _{rip} lication Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the construc	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Amendment to the specifications has been reviewed by the examiner and it is accepted. The amendment will be entered.

Claim Objections

2. Objection to claim 7 has been withdrawn.

Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4, the term "firewall" should be "firewire". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8-16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferry et al. (Ferry, US Patent No.: 5,805,677 A) in view of Tidwell et al. (Tidwell, US Patent No.: 6535590B2).

Regarding claim 1, Ferry teaches of a system for connecting, controlling, programming and/or operating at least one communication device (figure 1, represents a system), the communication device being a telecommunication system or a telecommunication terminal (figure 1, where television and telephones are part of telecommunication systems), comprising: an interface (column 2, lines 10-20 and 51-53, where at least the telephone interface provides information, directly or indirectly, to the

Art Unit: 2618

TV set); at least one entertainment terminal having a display unit (figure 1, items 20 and 24, where the TV set corresponds to an "entertainment terminal", where a communication device, telephone is connected to an entertainment terminal, television), the entertainment terminal connected to the communication device via the interface (figure 1, where the telephone inherently require an interface for communication with the television terminal and vice versa, the interface can be wired or wireless), where the communication device and the at least one entertainment terminal are configured to interchange information via the interface (columns 9 and 10, lines 52-62 and 33-40, respectively, where, at least, the telephone sends information regarding a call to the TV terminal and the television terminal can send a reply to the call/message), where the communication device is configured to search automatically for an active entertainment terminal connected to the communication device upon activation of an administration mode of the communication device (column 2, 10-20 and 51-53, where programming the telephone to send the calls to the television corresponds to the administration mode, where the telephone is programmed to forward the call to the telephone/transfer device 10, that sends the information to the TV set, completing an indirect communication/connection), where the configuration information that provides information for configuring the communication device is sent from the communication device to the active entertainment terminal in response to finding an active entertainment terminal (Column 3, lines 53-67, where synchronization of devices corresponds to "configuration of devices").

Art Unit: 2618

Ferry does not specifically teach where a selection menu based on the configuration information is displayed on the active entertainment terminal.

In related art concerning a telephony system that provides communication between a telephone and a TV set, Tidwell teaches a selection menu based on the configuration information is displayed on the active entertainment terminal (Column 7, lines 54-62, where the menu provides setting options as well as command options).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's system for controlling communications devices with Tidwell's selection menu in order to provide ease of use to the user.

Regarding claim 2, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Tidwell further teaches where the entertainment terminal has an input facility in order to select from the selection menu displayed on the active entertainment terminal (Figure 3, item 16, where the remote control makes the input when selecting from the pull-down menu).

Regarding claim 3, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Ferry further teaches where the entertainment terminal is a television (Figure 1, item 20).

Regarding claim 8, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Ferry further teaches where the communication device is configured to search automatically for an active entertainment terminal connected to the system upon an incoming call (column 1, lines 14-24, column 5, lines 8-29).

Regarding claim 9, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Ferry further teaches where the communication device is configured to transmit statedependent information to an active entertainment terminal (columns 9 and 10, lines 66-

Art Unit: 2618

67 and 1-13, respectively; where additional information corresponds to "state-dependent information").

Regarding claim 10, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Ferry further teaches where the system has at least one associated database for insert symbols corresponding to the state-dependent information which can be inserted on the entertainment terminal in line with the information transmitted to the entertainment terminal (columns 9 and 10, lines 66-67 and 1-13, respectively; where the data is stored in a memory, where a list of stored information corresponds to a database).

Regarding claim 11, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim. Ferry further teaches where the database is associated with the communication device (columns 9 and 10, lines 66-67 and 1-13, respectively; where the data is stored in a memory, where a list of stored information corresponds to a database and it must be associated with at least one communication device).

Regarding claim 12, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 10. Ferry teaches where the database is a photograph and/or symbol database and/or a name database (column 12, lines 8-13).

Regarding claim 13, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 10. Ferry further teaches where the at-least-one database is stored on at least one memory device, which is associated with the system (column 12, lines 8-13).

Regarding claim 14, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim13. Ferry further teaches where the memory device is a memory device is in the communication device and connected to the entertainment terminal (column 12, lines 8-13).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 15, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1. Ferry further teaches where the communications system comprises a plurality of communications devices connected to at least one entertainment terminal via the interface, and where the interface provides for communication between the plurality of communication devices (Figure 1, where the telephone network comprises a plurality of communication devices that can be interfaced with the television set).

Regarding claim 19, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 2. Tidwell further teaches where the selection information is transmitted from the entertainment system to the communication terminal, and where the communication terminal is configured using the transmitted selection information (column 8, lines 28-38, where by selecting at item form the menu, the telephone is directed to perform the function an send it back to the screen).

Regarding claim 20, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 2. Tidwell further teaches where the input facility communicates with the entertainment system directly via a second interface (figure 1, item 16, where the remote control has a different interface such as wireless infrared interface).

5. Claims 4-6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferry in view of Tidwell and further in view of Goldstein, Steven W. (Goldstein, US Patent No.: 5,410,326 A).

Regarding claim 4, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1.

Ferry and Tidwell do not specifically teach where the interface is a wireless interface.

Art Unit: 2618

In related art concerning a programmable remote control device for interacting with a plurality of remotely control devices, Goldstein teaches where the interface is a wireless interface (figure 14 shows a "RF link" wireless interface between telephone module 25 and different devices).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's and Tidwell's system for controlling communications devices with Goldstein's wireless interface in order to provide mobility to the system.

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claims 4 and 16, respectively. Goldstein further teaches where the interface is a radio interface (figure 14 shows a "RF link" between telephone module 25 and different devices).

Regarding claim 6, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1.

Goldstein further teaches where the interface is a high-speed interface (column 33, lines 58-61, e.g., "high-speed modem").

6. Claim 18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferry in view of Tidwell, and further in view of Baker, Richard T. (Baker, US Patent No.: 5,948,080 A).

Regarding claim 18, Ferry and Tidwell teach all the limitations of claim 1.

Ferry and Tidwell do not teach where the interface provides a plug and play option such that the entertainment system automatically recognizes a connection of a further communication device to the interface.

Baker's further teaches of IEEE 1394 Firewire standard and where the IEEE 1394 Firewire standard comprises the plug and play option (column 1, lines 21-34).

Art Unit: 2618

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's and Tidwell's system for controlling communications devices with Baker's IEEE 1394 Firewire standard that comprises plug and play in order to readily connect new devices to the system without reconfiguring them.

Regarding claim 21, Ferry teaches of a method for programming a communication device (column 2, lines 10-20, where the telephone is programmed to detect and direct the calls to the television set), the communication device being a telecommunication system or a telecommunication terminal (column 2, lines 10-20, where telephones are telecommunication devices), the interface connected to at least one entertainment terminal having a display unit and connected to the communication device (column 2, lines 10-20 and 51-53, where the interface connects the telephone, directly or indirectly, to the TV set. In addition, further down it is shown where other interface can do the same); automatically searching for an active entertainment terminal by the communication device in response to an activation of an administration mode of the communication device (column 2, 10-20 and 51-53, where programming the telephone to send the calls to the television corresponds to the administration mode, where the telephone is programmed to forward the call to the telephone/transfer device 10, that sends the information to the TV set, completing an indirect communication/connection); sending configuration information that provides information to configure the communication device to the entertainment terminal by the communication device (Column 3, lines 53-67, where synchronization of devices corresponds to "configuration of devices").

Art Unit: 2618

Ferry does not specifically teach where a selection menu based on the configuration information is displayed on the active entertainment terminal.

In related art concerning a telephony system that provides communication between a telephone and a TV set, Tidwell teaches a selection menu based on the configuration information is displayed on the active entertainment terminal (Column 7, lines 54-62, where the menu provides setting options as well as command options).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's system for controlling communications devices with Tidwell's selection menu in order to provide ease of use to the user.

Ferry and Tidwell do not specifically teach of a high-speed serial interface based on an IEEE 1394 firewall standard.

Baker teaches where the interface transmission is based on the IEEE 1394
Firewire standard (column 1, lines 21-34, which is another standard for interfacing communication devices to obtain high speed at low cost).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's and Tidwell's system for controlling communications devices with Baker's IEEE 1394 Firewire standard in order to obtain "high-performance multimedia connections with camcorders, televisions, stereos...", as taught by Baker.

Regarding claim 22, Ferry, Tidwell and Baker teach all the limitations of claim 21. Tidwell further teaches of sending selection information from the entertainment terminal to the communication device in response to a selection from a user via an input unit; and configuring the communication device using the selection information (Figure 3,

Art Unit: 2618

item 16, where the remote control makes the input when selecting from the pull-down menu).

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferry in view of Tidwell and Goldstein, and further in view of Baker.

Regarding claim 7, Ferry, Tidwell and Goldstein teach all the limitations of claim 6.

Ferry, Tidwell and Goldstein do not explicitly teaches where the interface transmission is based on the IEEE 1394 Firewire standard.

In related art concerning a system for assigning received data packets to data communication channels, Baker teaches where the interface transmission is based on the IEEE 1394 Firewire standard (column 1, lines 21-34, which is another standard for interfacing communication devices to obtain high speed at low cost).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to combine Ferry's, Tidwell's and Goldstein's system for controlling communications devices with Baker's IEEE 1394 Firewire standard in order to obtain "high-performance multimedia connections with camcorders, televisions, stereos...", as taught by Baker.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 18-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angelica Perez whose telephone number is 571-272-7885. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 a.m. - 1:30 p.m., Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either the PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through the Private PAIR only. For more information about the pair system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Information regarding Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system can be found at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Angelica Pere Examiner

MATTHEW ANDERSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit 2618

September 17, 2007